

RE: Mr Pettman - Offer of Consultancy and Relat...

Subject: RE: Mr Pettman - Offer of Consultancy and Related Matters. INTERNAL & STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.
From: George Eaton-Busfield <george@g-cv.com>
Date: 07/06/2018, 14:08
To: "Jason, The JET Group" <jason.earl@thejetgroup.co.uk>, David Bailey <David.Bailey@healys.com>
CC: Adam Voce <adam@g-cv.com>, George Eaton-Busfield <george@g-cv.com>, "Aubrey Hayward" <aubrey.hayward@ransquawk.com>

Dear Jason,

Without Prejudice

Jason - as discussed - suggest do not reply to this email.

FYI - I am / was already aware of this email as David and I had discussed - it refers to documentary support to Mr Pettman not financial as I think he is inferring. e.g. you / others helping him attain the affidavits from Anthony and others.

Indeed, as you well know a significant amount of money was spent obtaining formal legal advice on this and related matters at 2 Hare Court and it was categorically stated that Mr Pettman could not receive financial input from the company / companies.

That said, I understand this shouldn't really be an issue for him, given he will surely have funds to address this matter once he provides the VAT invoice(s) / contracts for the various consulting work he has been doing? - And that Aubrey is following up on such today as just agreed by all on the conference call.

Thus, I don't think this changes any of the actions that were initiated on that call - only to escalate the risk of getting drawn into Mr Pettmans legal case / litigation when offering him any consultancy work.

With that in mind, I think now imperative that any contract or invoices or work are reviewed legally before anyone / any company commits to anything with Mr Pettman to ensure this risk is mitigated.

The only other material matter of note FYI is that Mr Pettman has not replied as far as I am aware with his desired edits to the draft potential contract sent to him or provided the invoice requested.

I understand / he had agreed most of the points in principal, I do hope he has not got cold feet now he actually has to commit in black and white, have to say think that a lot of people would be disappointed if that is now his intent.

In any case I guess will see very shortly. I believe Aubrey is following it up with him today to ask him to respond, and then planning a conference call to go through each requested amendments to ensure fair and honour what was initially agreed.

I have to say there are growing parties concerns that he intends to retract from his previous positions given his lack of response and other rumours being heard.

In any event I follow up with David below:

Hi David,

Alas Mr Tinkler .. predictable at least. Please see above and below emails explaining the various positions.

As discussed yesterday, there is a fear that Mr Pettman is now withdrawing from certain plans and agreements made in principle (for the future, regarding consulting and such), now that he is having to put pen to paper.

And simultaneously the email from Mr Tinkler seems to be trying to drag people into Mr Pettman's legal case(s), which is bizarre given the reality of the situation.

In any event - for the others on this email - please can you confirm your statement below was made in reference to non-financial support for assistance in providing facts / documents where relevant.

Also, please advise how best to reply, if at all, noting the below.

The ultimate intention / objectives here I understand are to try and agree a contract with Mr Pettman for a consulting role and / or other matters such as share purchases etc - you will have seen the draft a couple of days back, and then (given the new email below has highlighted a concurrent potential issue / risk) ensure that any payments made do not risk to implicate or tie in any RAN / JET company or connected persons to Mr Pettman's legal cases.

I am aware that there is intent for Mr Pettman to be remunerated for his consultancy and or shares or any other matters between the company(s) and himself as so desired, perhaps Mr Tinkler and Mr Pettman's other legal debts could be paid on behalf of Mr Pettman (as remuneration for his consulting and / or shares) if he is having some issues with legal debts. I mean the intention by the company is to secure his services to assist as best he can and enabling him to clear his debts would likely help with that, BUT ONLY SO LONG AS IT WAS MADE ADAMANTLY CLEAR THIS WAS FOR CONSULTING WORK AND NOT IN ANY WAY LINKED TO WHATEVER LEGAL MATTERS HE HAS AT HAND - obviously the company has no wish to get involved in such directly I assume (and as you likely recall yourself).

Obviously would need this to be secured correctly and legally and agreed by all relevant parties, but if there is a way to possibly make any of the above happen and resolve all these issues then such advice would be appreciated.

Perhaps we could have a call with you Adam and myself in the first instance.

Please give me a bell when free and / or when you have a genius resolution to the matters above.

Ultimately be just great to get all off list as quickly as possible and to ensure absolutely separate the company(s) and all individuals from any legal matters Mr Pettman may have going on.

Thanks

G

From: Kelly Tinkler <kelly.tinkler@keystonelaw.co.uk>
Sent: 07 June 2018 12:09
To: Jason, The JET Group <jason.earl@thejetgroup.co.uk>
Cc: Adam Voce <adam@g-cv.com>; David Bailey <David.Bailey@healys.com>; index arb <indexarb@hotmail.com>; George Eaton-Busfield <george@g-cv.com>; Stuart Pettman - Spap <spettman@spap.co.uk>
Subject: RE: Mr Pettman - Offer of Consultancy and Related Matters.
Importance: High

Dear Jason

I attach an email from David Bailey to Stuart. You will see the first line states:

I am pleased to report that George has confirmed that they will support you in your claim against Harry Daniels.

As you are aware, Stuart (and I) have acted in reliance upon that.

Can you please now confirm that both David and George were authorised to make that written promise on behalf of the JET Group.

Despite that promise, a number of my invoices remain unpaid, some dating from September last year, and I now need to take steps to protect Stuart's position.

I look forward to hearing from you as soon as possible.

Regards

Kelly

Best Regards

Kelly Tinkler
Consultant Solicitor

dd: 020 3319 3700
fax: 0845 458 9398

From: George Eaton-Busfield <george@g-cv.com>
Sent: 29 May 2018 16:55
To: Stuart Pettman - Spap <spettman@spap.co.uk>
Cc: Jason, The JET Group <jason.earl@thejetgroup.co.uk>; Adam Voce <adam@g-cv.com>; George Eaton-Busfield <george@g-cv.com>; Kelly Tinkler <Kelly.Tinkler@keystonelaw.co.uk>; David Bailey <David.Bailey@healys.com>; index arb <indexarb@hotmail.com>
Subject: Mr Pettman - Offer of Consultancy and Related Matters.
Importance: High

Dear Stuart,

Hope you are well. Please be advised that the a formal offer together with supporting drafted and proposed documentation will be with you by close of play tomorrow.

Apologies for our semi-informed position at this point, but have indirectly heard various updates and commentary regarding this matter today – please be sure to highlight by return asap any new or additional factors you want considering such that they can be accommodated where / if possible.

Accordingly, and on a more specific point, I am aware that the idea to make an advanced "good faith" payment (today or tomorrow and of circa £15,000) was raised recently – pending the finalisation and completion of the above said documentation. Whilst I understand this remains an option from this side, it is hoped this will not be necessary, given it is anticipated all relevant and final documentation will be available able to be completed within 24 – 48 hours and thus supersede the purpose.

Moreover, it is feared that such payment would only evoke discord given that it is now understood you hoped to use it specifically to compensate your lawyer Mr Tinkler, which under normal circumstances would be of no issues and indeed all I suggest would be happy that you are relieving the financial burden upon yourself, however, for reasons you are aware it is likely not as simple as that as Mr Bailey would arguably and equitably also be due a share of such sum or the entire sum. Obviously, these are not matters of our concern directly, but given the complexities of relationships and introductions made etc, the last thing wanted is for you to be in any situation where by no fault of your own, and relating to matters beyond your control, you are under any additional distress.

THEREFORE, I am advised as stated – documents with you by close of play tomorrow. Funds to follow such that all holistic and cross party matters at hand can be resolved satisfactorily across the board.

Thanks in advance – hopefully this will finally enable a conclusion to these matters.

Kind Regards
George

Consultant on behalf of RANSquawk.

KEYSTONE LAW

Best Legal Adviser - Legal Week 2017-18

48 Chancery Lane, London WC2A 1JF, United Kingdom
DX 193 Chancery Ln | t +44 (0)20 3319 3700 | f +44 (0)845 458 9398 | [@keystone_law](https://twitter.com/keystone_law)
www.keystonelaw.co.uk

Keystone Law is a trading name of Keystone Law Limited, an entity authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority with its registered office at First Floor, 48 Chancery Lane, London WC2A 1JF, United Kingdom. Company number: 4850783. VAT number: GB 200 7302 72. SRA number: 400999. A list of the directors of Keystone Law is open to inspection at the registered office. This email and the information it contains are confidential and may be privileged. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately and refrain from disclosing its contents to any other person. This email has been checked for potential computer viruses using technology supplied by Mimecast. Keystone Law does not accept service of documents by email.



England | Isle of Man | Northern Ireland | Australia

