

**Subject:** Re: JET Group Services  
**From:** "Jason Earl, JET" <jason.earl@thejetgroup.co.uk>  
**Date:** 27/06/2019, 15:14  
**To:** David Bailey <David.Bailey@healys.com>  
**CC:** John Healy <John.Healy@healys.com>

Hi David,

Your email fails to answer my questions. Instead, you seem intent on trying to reframe the question I asked on back on myself in an attempt to whitewash my genuine concerns.

You are aware the shareholdings on Companies House were illicitly changed in June 2018, hence why I asked you not to take instruction from Mr Busfield in the first place. I was still a director when brought this to your attention.

As a shareholder and someone who is still actively involved in the day to day matters of the business, I am entitled to raise valid questions regarding governance and transparency, especially where fraud may have occurred. I believe an accounts audit forms part of this process, something I can do as a shareholder (I think under S476 CA2006 if my memory serves me).

To further elaborate, Mr Williams and Mr Dimitrov were also appointed illicitly by Mr Busfield. As the only director, I did not authorise these appointments. I believe these actions by Mr Busfield constitute fraud by misrepresentation. I can't help but feel the irony of this after Mr Busfield spent vast sums of money on this topic with Brian O'Neill QC.

Shortly after Mr Busfield made it clear these directors were appointed with the agenda of terminating my directorship. So let me ask you this, what sane director would nominate two new directors with the express goal of getting themselves "sacked"?

Later, I learnt of a call from Mr Busfield. He admitted to these changes on Companies House intending to threaten me. Mr Busfield qualified with "Mr Williams, and Mr Dimitrov are willing to go to jail for us" (That is Mr Busfield and Mr Voce). These are perturbing statements as they strongly imply actions undertaken by Mr Voce, Mr Busfield, Mr Dimitrov or Mr Williams are criminal in nature. My observations deduct they are unlawful for a plethora of reasons. I resigned because these statements made me very anxious, coupled with the fact Mr Busfield was unwilling to file accounts, something that also affected me personally as it was jeopardising my ability to obtain a mortgage. It was a decision I rather not have had to make, but felt coerced by the circumstances.

The presence of an HMRC investigation later confirmed my fears. HMRC's independent investigation questions Mr Busfield's actions and highlight him to be a controlling mind in RAN, JET, TTN and GCV. I have then come to learn that IBM is investigating Mr Busfield for pension fraud. I also believe I know the real reason why Brian O'Neill QC called Mr Busfield and Mr Voce a pair of "Dulwich Pussies". More recently you have become aware others have claims against Mr Busfield, such as myself and Mr Patel.

I do not believe you can avoid my questions, especially if the company finds itself in a position of receivership where an investigation will scrutinise events highlighted in this letter and my previous

letter. Fundamentally history cannot be changed, and the truth cannot be adjusted (contrary to what the Hollywood movie "Michael Clayton" suggests). It will be evident from the correspondence you've had, which should not have come from Mr Busfield.

I happen to notice that Mr Busfield appears as the select testimonial on your company website. I do question the choice of testimonial now that Mr Busfield is the subject of many concurrent fraud-related investigations and I'm sure you'll understand my concern about its impact on your reputation.

Regards,  
Jase

On 26/06/2019 17:20, David Bailey wrote:

Hi Jason

I have had a look on Companies House and note that you resigned as a director of Jet Group Services on 23 August last year. Please could you explain in what capacity you have made these requests.

**EARL, Jason**

Correspondence address

**Moor Place, 1 Fore Street, Moorgate, London, United Kingdom, EC2Y 5EJ**

Role **RESIGNED**

**Secretary**

Appointed on

**9 May 2017**

Resigned on

**23 August 2018**

Also my reference below to "your" accountants should be read as "the companies'" accountants.

Kind regards



**David Bailey**

Partner and Head of Dispute Resolution

DD: +44 - (0)1273 - 810 064 | Mob: +44 - (0)7887 - 700 205 | F: +44 - (0)1273 - 685 454 | T: +44 - (0)1273 - 685 888

E: [David.Bailey@healys.com](mailto:David.Bailey@healys.com) | W: [www.healys.com](http://www.healys.com)

A: 8 & 9 Old Steine, Brighton, East Sussex, BN1 1EJ, United Kingdom

A: Atrium Court, 15-17 Jockey's Fields, London, WC1R 4QR, United Kingdom

[Healys LLP](#) | [@HealysLLP](#) | [Healys LLP](#)

---

**From:** David Bailey

**Sent:** 26 June 2019 16:53

**To:** 'Jason Earl, JET' <[jason.earl@thejetgroup.co.uk](mailto:jason.earl@thejetgroup.co.uk)>

**Cc:** John Healy <[John.Healy@healys.com](mailto:John.Healy@healys.com)>

**Subject:** RE: JET Group Services

Hi Jason

Thanks for your email. I am not aware of any requests you have previously made. Please could you send me a copy.

Your accountants, PJ Marks have copies of the invoices and I understand arranged these payments.

Kind regards



**David Bailey**

Partner and Head of Dispute Resolution



DD: +44 - (0)1273 - 810 064 | Mob: +44 - (0)7887 - 700 205 | F: +44 - (0)1273 - 685 454 | T: +44 - (0)1273 - 685 888  
E: [David.Bailey@healys.com](mailto:David.Bailey@healys.com) | W: [www.healys.com](http://www.healys.com)  
A: 8 & 9 Old Steine, Brighton, East Sussex, BN1 1EJ, United Kingdom  
A: Atrium Court, 15-17 Jockey's Fields, London, WC1R 4QR, United Kingdom  
[Healys LLP](#) | [@HealysLLP](#) | [Healys LLP](#)

**From:** Jason Earl, JET <[jason.earl@thejetgroup.co.uk](mailto:jason.earl@thejetgroup.co.uk)>  
**Sent:** 26 June 2019 12:49  
**To:** David Bailey <[David.Bailey@healys.com](mailto:David.Bailey@healys.com)>  
**Cc:** John Healy <[John.Healy@healys.com](mailto:John.Healy@healys.com)>  
**Subject:** JET Group Services

**This Message originated outside your organization.**

---

David,

I copy in John Healy who I believe oversees the complaints procedure in your firm. I do this because you failed to acknowledge my last email and more than 28 days has expired.

Last year following unlawful actions that were undertaken by Mr Busfield, I instructed you to stop taking instruction from Mr Busfield & Mr Voce. You will find this in an email titled "The JET Group Services Limited / The JET Group Limited company changes" from 9:24 am BST 26 June 2018. I also sent this to our accountants and bank manager and note you were the only person who never gave me the courtesy of a reply, despite receiving the email.

I am aware the company placed £10,800 on account with you in March 2018. As well as telling you not to take instruction from others, I am well aware you do not have a signed engagement letter from me (it is sat on my desk next to me), nor have I given you instruction on behalf of the company from this point in time. This means you should not have done any work for the company and therefore there should be no issue returning this money back to the company.

However, I also note on the 17th May 2019 that the company paid you £5,000. A few days later I emailed you to request an invoice for this so that it can be lodged with our accountants. You seemed to be complicit in ignoring this request; as I am still waiting for this.

I see this payment was raised by Mr Busfield which leads me to deduct that this was in relation to work you had done under Mr Busfield's instruction, despite emphatically stating not to take further instruction from Mr Busfield.

If you wish to be instructed by Mr Busfield, that is your prerogative. However, if that is the case, given Mr Busfield and Mr Voce is not a director or shareholder of either JET companies, you will be more than aware it can not be done from The JET Group Services Ltd funds, especially when members of the company and many other 3rd parties are now engaged in numerous disputes arising from Mr Busfield's fraudulent behaviour.

To resolve the matter, Ideally, I would like one of the following addressed immediately:

- Invoices for the work you have done:
  - This should be backed up with a paper trail showing the signed engagement letter from me. However, I do not believe you have one.
  - A paper trail showing whom you got instruction from within the company, along with supporting evidence/reasoning that proves they are in a capacity to instruct you.
- Refund the £15,800 in full to the company.
  - If the work was instructed by Mr Busfield, then I'm sure there will be no problem seeking this money back directly from Mr Busfield himself.

Because you have ignored my previous requests and over 28 days has passed, if you fail to acknowledge me within 3 working days I will be left with little other option but to raise this matter with the SRA and the Legal Ombudsman. As someone who proclaims to specialise in finance, commercial litigation and fraud disputes I would have hoped emails like this would have been avoidable from the outset.

Regards,  
Jase



\*\*\*\*\*

**IMPORTANT NOTICE - CYBERCRIME ALERT.**

(1) In light of the increased risk posed by cyber fraud and especially those in relation to bank account details, please take extra care and always be vigilant in relation to electronic transfer of funds. Please exercise caution when opening any emails, attachments or links and when responding to any requests for your bank details or notices of changes to bank details of others. **Our policy in respect of any change of this firm's bank details (which will be extremely rare) are set out in our retainer letter to you. We will NOT accept responsibility if you transfer money into an incorrect bank account. Our general policy is NOT to accept any changes to bank account details provided by email.**

(2) Healys accept no responsibility for emails which purport to be sent by us. It is your responsibility to ensure that the email is genuine before relying upon anything contained within it. Please also refer to our cyber crime warning on the firm's website.

\*\*\*\*\*

Authorised and Regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority. A list of the members of the LLP and of non-members who are described as partners is available for inspection at Atrium Court, 15-17 Jockey's Fields, London, WC1R 4QR. "Healys" and "Healys Solicitors" are trading names of Healys LLP which is a Limited Liability Partnership. Registration number OC342610. We use the word 'partner' to refer to a member of the LLP or an employee, or non member partner, or consultant with equivalent standing and qualifications. The content of this e-mail (and any attachment) is confidential. The information transmitted is intended only for use by the addressee and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, re-transmission, dissemination or other use of it, or the taking of any action in reliance upon this information by persons and/or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please inform the sender and/or addressee immediately and delete the e-mail and any attachments. Thank you for your co-operation. Healys uses virus checking software and we are not aware that this communication contains any viruses. However, we cannot guarantee that no viruses are present and we accept no liability for any damage caused by you opening this communication or any attachments or hyperlinks contained in it. Click here: <https://healys.com/about-the-firm/our-people/> for a list of partners and places of business. We are subject to the Solicitors' Code of Conduct, a copy of which can be found here: [www.sra.org.uk/rules/](http://www.sra.org.uk/rules/) We do not accept service by e-mail.

\*\*\*\*\*