

Subject: RE: Ranvir Singh Contact

From: Alec Baughan <alec.baughan@ransquawk.com>

Date: 14/10/2019, 13:50

To: George Eaton-Busfield <george@g-cv.com>, cecb@cecb.uk

CC: Aubrey Hayward <aubrey.hayward@ransquawk.com>, Adam Linton <adam.linton@ransquawk.com>, I Dimitrov <ivaylo@g-cv.com>, RPA Williams <rpawilliams@g-cv.com>, matthewcheung50@hotmail.com, Anthony Cheung <anthonywmcheung@gmail.com>, jamie@versaaccountants.co.uk, Richard Rones <rrones@thorntonrones.co.uk>, david.bailey@healys.com, Integrity Complaints <IntegrityComplaints@companieshouse.gov.uk>, John Healy <john.healy@healys.com>, Jamie Voce <jamesv@earnestadv.com>, ivo101329@gmail.com, "phillip.r.a.williams" <phillip.r.a.williams@gmail.com>, albie@versaaccountants.co.uk, fieldhouse@ntlworld.com, Adam Voce <adam@g-cv.com>, "Jason Earl, JET" <jason.earl@thejetgroup.co.uk>

George/Cindy,

I really expected to see a reply from you regarding the below email this morning if not during the weekend, refuting it, but as we're now into Monday afternoon it appears your silence confirms admission that you indeed tried to get some sort of dirt on Jason off Ranvir...

George, Jason is one of your 'musketeers' as you described us (Aub, Lints, Jase and me), and below here you are 'shoving him under the bus'!

Plus, you've refused to pay his salary – you've just cut him off!! What on earth do you think you're doing?

At this juncture I'd like some assurance, please, that you're not going to treat me or your fellow 'musketeers' in a similar way, i.e. you're going to pay my invoices on time each month going forward, and you're not going to try and blame us for this company's situation. Remember, you asked me to be a director as a personal favour to you because you believed that if your name was listed as a director then either Ranvir or Sonny Schneider would come after you. **I trusted you** and then within a week I found out that the company owed VAT, so I was out... I was a director for some 10 days or so and now I do feel exposed that you could try to include me as some sort of scapegoat for your actions and inactions causing the company's current predicament.

I know I've mentioned this before, but you've really got to get the current directors up to London to meet the team. Nobody here, including myself, has ever met them and it would do wonders for morale in the office.

...and while I'm here, please please please tell me why you haven't refunded Australia New Zealand Bank (ANZ Bank) their money...

...and how we're meant to support the upcoming ECB satellite feed with no Jase IT skills...

...and how you intend we maintain Accelerated ECB revenue, given now ECB have their own free fast feed which is the same speed as us! If we're going to survive we sorely need investment in the same technology Live Squawk uses.

Look forward to hearing from you.

Alec.

From: Chandrakant Patel <chandrakantpatel51@outlook.com>

Sent: 12 October 2019 13:05

To: Jason Earl, JET <jason.earl@thejetgroup.co.uk>; Adam Voce <adam@g-cv.com>

Cc: cecb@cecb.uk; George Eaton-Busfield <george@g-cv.com>; Aubrey Hayward <aubrey.hayward@ransquawk.com>; Adam Linton <adam.linton@ransquawk.com>; I Dimitrov <ivaylo@g-cv.com>; RPA Williams <rpawilliams@g-cv.com>; matthewcheung50@hotmail.com; Anthony Cheung <anthonywmcheung@gmail.com>; jamie@versaaccountants.co.uk; alec.baughan@ransquawk.com; Richard Rones <rrones@thorntonrones.co.uk>; david.bailey@healys.com; Integrity Complaints <IntegrityComplaints@companieshouse.gov.uk>; John Healy <john.healy@healys.com>; Jamie Voce <jamesv@earnestadv.com>; ivo101329@gmail.com; phillip.r.a.williams <phillip.r.a.williams@gmail.com>; albie@versaaccountants.co.uk; fieldhouse@ntlworld.com

Subject: Ranvir Singh Contact

Without prejudice and for information purposes.

Dear All, specifically Mr Hayward, Mr Linton, Mr Baughan

You should be aware of the email trail below as Mr Busfield asked you to be shareholders and or Directors to "protect the company" against Mr Singh.

We all fell for his story, below shows how low Mr Busfield is willing to go, ie, the same person that he said was the "devil" he is asking for help to crucify Jason Earl.

My view (and it is a personal view) is that Mr Busfield enacted a long term plan to take over the Ransquawk business as well as to annex / steal my shareholding.

As your all aware Mr Earl is the only reason that the company operates from a technology perspective, having gone from an IT team of four to one, due to the people in Stoke having zero comprehension of how the business operates and its reliance on IT and need for constant improvements in both software and infrastructure, none of which it can be seen has been done whilst our competitors power ahead.

As suggested before and if you have not already I would encourage you to use HMRC and other authorities "whistle-blowing" schemes to protect your positions as the companies have considerable liabilities as revealed by Adam Voce during his London visit.

If Mr Busfield is willing to throw Mr Earl "under the bus" as he insinuates below, he wouldn't in my opinion think twice about doing the same to you. This may involve blaming you for the companies financial positions as your names were "above the door", say he is mentally ill, and say his mother has just started at the business, so its all your fault.

Companies advisors ... why do you think Mr Busfield is not willing to put his name to anything? yet you know there is overwhelming evidence that shows he is the one that instructs all parties? He may well also state you are to blame as you took instruction from him even though his name was not "above the door" and was "mentally ill". He has previously I believe contemplated taking legal action against the companies advisors and or blaming them for taking such instruction.

Regards

Chandrakant Patel

From: Ranvir Singh <ranvirsingh1276@yahoo.com>
Sent: 12 July 2019 22:59
To: George Eaton-Busfield; 'ssingh2195@yahoo.co.uk'
Cc: Adam Voce; sonny.schneider@schneidertrading.com;
sonny.schneider@schneiderinvestmentassociates.com; indexarb@hotmail.com; 8harvles@uk.ibm.com;
Ranvir Singh
Subject: Re: Mr & Mrs Singh - Request for Assistance with Audit & Compliance Investigations (IT / J Earl)

CONFIDENTIAL AND WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Dear Mr Busfield and Mr Voce

I write on behalf of myself and my wife, Mrs Sonia Singh, in reply to 2 emails we have received namely;

1. From email address ransquawk@protonmail.com on 07 July 2019 at 13:07 with subject **"George Busfield"** (various parties cc'd)
2. From email address george@g-cv.com on 08 July 2019 at 10:05 with subject **"Mr & Mrs Singh - Request for Assistance with Audit & Compliance Investigations (IT / J Earl)"** (various parties cc'd)

Both emails will referred to hereafter as **"email 1"**, **"email 2"** or collectively **"the emails"**.

I would like to state at the outset that both emails are highly inappropriate and inflammatory given the history between me/my wife and you/Mr Voce.

There is no foreseeable reason for you or Mr Voce to ever contact either of us. If there was some unforeseen set of events which meant either of you had to contact us, neither of us would be prepared to help you or Mr Voce under any circumstances.

I also note that neither email 1 or email 2 has been marked as 'Confidential' or 'Without Prejudice' and as such, you are more than happy for them to be shared publicly (which I will do so for our protection).

I further note that email 2 contains a number of spelling and/or grammatical errors.

YOUR EMAILS

I will now address the points raised - predominantly in email 2 - below;

1. You state that email 1 is a ***"due reminder"*** to contact me and my wife ***"as part of the current Audit, Governance, Legal and Complainece processes underway at various companies and/or entities and/or trading businesses as are RANsquawk or associated/connected parties thereto..."***

All of this is nonsensical for a number of reasons;

- a. Reminders are not sent to recipients who are unaware they may be contacted by parties who they strongly wish not to be contacted by.

- b. This particular 'reminder' is addressed to Ms Anne Conroy – HR Director at IBM London. IBM is not and has not ever been, associated with RANSquawk in any way.
 - c. Neither you nor Mr Voce are directors or employees of Real-Time Analysis & News Ltd (t/a RANSquawk) and are therefore highly unlikely to be carrying out any "Audit, Governance, Legal and Compliance processes underway" at the company or any related company.
 - d. The email address of the "reminder" is not a recognised RANSquawk email domain.
 - e. The email address for Anne Conroy (anne.conroy@uk.ibm.com) is also incorrect.
2. Furthermore, you put yourself out as writing "behalf of the Directors". Tellingly, you do not state which Directors you are writing on behalf of.

Given the reference to RANSquawk at the beginning of email 2 it can objectively be assumed that you are attempting to present yourself and Mr Voce out as writing on behalf of the Directors of this company.

However the email addresses you have used (George@g-cv.com and Adam@g-cv.com) are not RANSquawk email addresses. The URL www.g-cv.com is a holding page for "Ginkgo Capital Ventures" which gives no indication as to the legal entity behind the site.

Lastly, you have not cc'd any of the current Directors of RANSquawk.

All of these facts can objectively be described at best as suspicious and at worst, as potentially fraudulent.

It is for this reasons I have decided to cc in the recipients of the email contained in 1 above in this answer for transparency and to highlight what you are doing. Also, as the email address for Ms Anne Conroy is incorrect I have cc'd her PA, Ms Lesley Harvey.

3. On a substantive level I can confirm that my wife and I were subject to legal action on 2 occasions between 2016 and 2018 from Real-time Analysis & News Ltd. We did not raise "a legal claim" (as you state) as we were the defendants on both occasions.

You are very well aware of these facts as you were the signatory to much of the legal documentation issued by the company in both cases as you were a Director of the claimant at the time.

4. Any information from either case is confidential by way of a settlement agreement signed between myself, my wife and Real-time Analysis & News Ltd or by way of legal privilege, given the 2nd case was won by us by default judgement.

As such, neither myself, nor my wife are able to share any information with a third party unless this third party is an official body of HM Govt (eg - police, CPS, HMRC etc) and the request is made in an official capacity.

If you believe you or Mr Voce are members of HM Govt I am happy to see supporting evidence of this.

If not, if you are still involved with RANSquawk you should have no problems accessing this documentation via the company's solicitor.

CONCLUDING STEPS

In conclusion, I believe your emails have been written to try and incite either me or my wife into committing actions that you will later use to commence further litigation against us and I feel nothing but utter contempt towards both you and Mr Voce for embarking on such a

course of action.

I do not wish to hear from you again. If I, or my wife continues to receive emails from you or Mr Voce (in any capacity whatsoever) we will seek a restraining order against you both.

Given your history of being subject to such orders, I firmly believe we will be successful in another being granted in our favour.

Please delete our email addresses from any databases you may have.

Yours Sincerely,

Ranvir Singh (and on behalf of Sonia Singh)

On Monday, 8 July 2019, 10:55:47 BST, George Eaton-Busfield <george@g-cv.com> wrote:

Dear Phil,

Respected, noted and acknowledged and as inferred / stated below. Apologies for any inconvenience.

I confirm your statements below, that you offered nor provided any input **whatsoever**.

I further confirm that neither Adam or I will send you any future correspondence will be sent on this matter.

Again sincerest apologies for inconvenience. Please consider this an end to this matter as per your request.

Kind Regards

George

From: Phil Bartlett <pbartlett@cdmlondon.com>

Sent: 08 July 2019 10:49

To: George Eaton-Busfield <george@g-cv.com>; ranvirsingh1276@yahoo.com;
'ssingh2195@yahoo.co.uk' <ssingh2195@yahoo.co.uk>

Cc: Adam Voce <adam@g-cv.com>

Subject: Re: Mr & Mrs Singh - Request for Assistance with Audit & Compliance Investigations (IT / J Earl)

Dear all

I have no idea why I am copied on this - I do not need to be involved in any way in any

correspondence on any of these matters.

As mentioned below, I listened to George last night in his explanation of the current situation – I offered no opinion, and indeed have no opinion on anything to do with any of these issues. I do not want to be included in any of this, in any way.

Please do not copy me on any correspondence on this in the future.

Phil

Phil Bartlett

Managing Director

CDM London

Bankside 3/Level 5, 90-100 Southwark Street , London SE1 0SW

T: +44 (0) 20 8618 1809 M: +44 (0) 78 3461 8305

From: George Eaton-Busfield <george@g-cv.com>
Date: Monday, 8 July 2019 at 10:05
To: "ranvirsingh1276@yahoo.com" <ranvirsingh1276@yahoo.com>, "ssingh2195@yahoo.co.uk" <ssingh2195@yahoo.co.uk>
Cc: Adam Voce <adam@g-cv.com>, George Eaton-Busfield <george@g-cv.com>, Phil Bartlett <pbartlett@cdmlondon.com>
Subject: Mr & Mrs Singh - Request for Assistance with Audit & Compliance Investigations (IT / J Earl)

Dear Mr & Mrs Singh,

Noting the email below, such as provided a due reminder yesterday to contact yourselves, and also as is pursuant to a brief conversation accordingly with Mr P Bartlett last night, (as you know an old school friend that we believe you both, and indeed myself and Mr Voce still hold respect for), albeit it should be **definitively** noted that Mr Bartlett stated **nothing** in the conversation of any materiality - but just listened, it is hereby wondered if (despite the historic disputes and disagreements as are / have been evident) you may nonetheless be able / wish to assist with the following matters as are outlined below.

As part of the current Audit, Governance, Legal and Compliance processes underway at various companies and/or entities and/or trading businesses as are RANsquawk or associated / connected parties thereto, some matters have been highlighted for specific and further investigations that you may be able to assist with – should you so wish of course. It is perceived that there may be additional matters in due course such as may warrant / benefit from your input also – but for now, in the first instance, your assistance, if you are able / wish to provide, is requested on the following matter specifically:

- The Audits and Compliance Investigations indicated above seek to include reviews and audit(s) of an array of cross functional matters (as so to aspire to best practices), such as to duly include not only financial processes reviews and such, but also - as is relevant herein, reviews of IT processes and adherence of lawful and ethical protocols thereto.
- Accordingly and relevantly, it is understood that in the last 12-36 months you raised a legal claim that you believed that Mrs Singh's or Mr Singh's emails had been 'hacked' by Mr Earl or another party (apologies without reviewing the file we am unable to recall the exact details).
- It would be appreciated if you could reply to this email with all the evidence and claims and beliefs such as you then made and/or have subsequently discovered in order that this matter can be revisited and reviewed comprehensively and rigorously as part of these current audit and compliance investigations and such that it can be assured, or not, that lawful actions have been undertaken at all times – and where any unlawful actions are identified they can be duly and appropriately addressed.

If you are able to assist as requested / indicated above then it would be much appreciated.

We note, as inferred, this may but the first request / correspondence of relevance to current matters that you may be able to assist with and/or may be of interest to you.

And Lastly, if you would like clarity on the email below, then we can seek to share at an overview level, but surmise to conclude at this point the email is believed to have been sent anonymously by Mr Jason Earl to try and ensure / evoke the cancellation of my (George) Disability Plan as had at IBM.

However, his attempt below, as is deemed / believed likely to be one of many such actions, is futile, as IBM have already revoked such (albeit assumedly, and arguably evidentially, he and his believed accomplices are unaware of such).

In any event, hope to hear from you accordingly, and in if you choose, as is your right not to assist, then would simply grateful if you could advise such by return.

Also, just to say, we have copied in Mr Bartlett to this correspondence for transparency only at this juncture, but will not do so going forward, as these are matters not of his concern and it is deemed unfair to do so.

Regards,

George and Adam

On Behalf of the Directors