

Subject: Re: Current dispute / IT matters
From: Adam Voce <adam@g-cv.com>
Date: 01/11/2019, 10:59
To: "Jason Earl, JET group" <jason.earl@thejetgroup.co.uk>
CC: Cynthia Busfield <cecb@cecb.uk>

Thanks Jason,

Just sent conf details via WhatsApp for 11.00am.

Please see below also:

My PIN 149336.

Dial-in numbers:

FROM a UK Landline*: 0844 4 73 73 73

FROM a UK Mobile**: 8 73 73 (If unable call number and/or using O2 mobile, try 98 73 73 instead)

On 1 Nov 2019, at 09:43, Jason Earl, JET group
<jason.earl@thejetgroup.co.uk> wrote:

Just to reiterate what you said Adam about goals etc

This is the bullet list I sent to Mrs Busfield on Whatsapp this afternoon, it reflects what I said to you Adam.

- I am willing to rescind IT resignation and provide IT services on a rolling monthly basis
- I am worried about handing over further account info like domains because like the Companies House codes. I feel this could be used to allow others to annexe other business assets from stakeholders. Mr Patel has expressed concern here and illustrated he went to the police over this matter. I can not risk myself being held criminally/civilly liable here.
- The JET Group, not The JET Group (looking at invoices) holds most assets. Therefore most of the stuff mentioned in Mr Peck's email is property JET Group and does not need handing over.
- Mr Peck's original email is riddled with fallacies and false statements (i.e. you claim to be a director of The JET Group, when it is me based on Company House records).
- The above 3 points are stakeholder issues. They must be handled

separately from IT matters. I resigned from IT, not as a director.

- However, it seems your legal "threat" is purposefully conflating both IT and stakeholder issues as a means to unlawfully leverage me into what I believe is George's agenda, and therefore not a real dispute.
- You have requested I work during October showing you expect me to work. Therefore the money is due to me.
- Therefore provided I comply with IT issues, I need to be paid all outstanding invoices (including today's). At least try and meet somewhere and pay something in the short term. If I don't see any money by the end of the day, I will take action tomorrow to recover this debt. Should this happen, I am in a strong position to escalate things very quickly.
- I believe if I am not paid, based on the fact several other unpaid debts are outstanding, that JETGS solvency is a genuine worry. It explains why my pay has been dragged out. The fact Healy's and ORJ have stopped corresponding seems to suggest this too. I am more than aware if you are going to fight me on this that you have a weak case.

Effectively, I'm happy to provide IT services, once paid. I've provided services without payment as requested. Of the back of payment I'll provide IT on a rolling monthly basis.

Regarding issues relating to the shareholder dispute, I'm willing to surrender things as per Mr Peck's email once there is an agreement between the Patel's and Busfield's. As stated I believe a meeting in London is what solves this. However this is separate to IT and pay. As I stated in my original resignation it was an IT only resignation. These have been purposefully conflated for an agenda.

JETGS Lloyd's statements might take a bit of pushing from Lloyds (I had issues when I had to get them for the accounts late last year).

I do not want to threaten but effectively I'm the one here who's been threatened originally. You do need to realise if my pay drags out beyond Fri I have to take actions that will be unpalatable, because I can't sit here and provide services like you requested in Oct and remain unpaid. I need to pay rent, bills and put food on my plate. This whole process has just been an vindictive excuse to scapegoat me over a shareholder dispute from last year. Whether George was pulling strings or not, I can only suspect. After the Ranvir Singh Email, it really has left a bad taste for me, and frankly you're lucky I've not pulled a trigger from the back of that.

Sorry Cindy if this sounds harsh on George, I never wanted to fall out with him but he knows where I draw my lines. If we can get back to restoring things for all stakeholders maybe some water will pass under the bridge and

we can rewind time a little and reset this animosity.

I'd like to have a good chunk paid today (Fri) in order to continue with Nandini etc next week, with the remainder settled next week. If you can settle the invoices in full today I will not expect the 8% late finance charge from the first two outstanding invoices which saves £536. Otherwise I will expect that to be paid part of them are paid next week.

Jase.