

Subject: RE: FINAL ATTEMPT TO ENGAGE IN DIRECT DISCUSSIONS WITH MR EARL TO TRY AND "FAST-TRACK" RESOLUTIONS TO IMPORTANT MATTERS AT HAND RE: RANSQUAWK ETC (by GB)

From: George Eaton-Busfield <george@g-cv.com>

Date: 02/09/2019, 16:14

To: Chandrakant Patel <chandrakantpatel51@outlook.com>, "Jason Earl, JET" <jason.earl@thejetgroup.co.uk>, Jason Earl <jason.earl@ransquawk.com>, "Jase (Virtualfunction)" <jase@virtualfunction.net>, Jason Earl <jaseearl@googlemail.com>, "jaseearl@gmail.com" <jaseearl@gmail.com>

CC: "cecb@cecb.uk" <cecb@cecb.uk>, Fieldhouse <fieldhouse@ntlworld.com>, "Adam Voce" <adam@g-cv.com>, index arb <indexarb@hotmail.com>, Albie Turner <albie@versaaccountants.co.uk>, David Bailey <David.Bailey@healys.com>, "rrones@thorntonrones.co.uk" <rrones@thorntonrones.co.uk>, Ivaylo Dimitrov <id@g-cv.com>, "ivo101329@gmail.com" <ivo101329@gmail.com>, Desi Shtereva <desi@g-cv.com>, Desislava Shtereva <oliviq_15@abv.bg>, "desi109190@gmail.com" <desi109190@gmail.com>, "phillip.r.a.williams@gmail.com" <phillip.r.a.williams@gmail.com>, "RPA Williams" <rpawilliams@g-cv.com>, George Eaton-Busfield <george@g-cv.com>

All,

I have spoken to Adam Voce, my mother and Mr Williams on this matter and I acknowledge the responses as have been provide by Mr Earl and Mr Patel respectively.

My mother, Adam Voce, Mr Williams and myself also agree and concur that a face to face meeting to try and progress and resolve matters promptly (if possible) would be the best approach.

I will reply further shortly myself and I think my mother intends to reply on a more formal basis also in the next day or so.

George

From: Chandrakant Patel <chandrakantpatel51@outlook.com>

Sent: 29 August 2019 12:53

To: Jason Earl, JET <jason.earl@thejetgroup.co.uk>; George Eaton-Busfield <george@g-cv.com>; Jason Earl <jason.earl@ransquawk.com>; Jase (Virtualfunction) <jase@virtualfunction.net>; Jason Earl <jaseearl@googlemail.com>; jaseearl@gmail.com

Cc: cecb@cecb.uk; Fieldhouse <fieldhouse@ntlworld.com>; Adam Voce <adam@g-cv.com>; index arb <indexarb@hotmail.com>; Albie Turner <albie@versaaccountants.co.uk>; David Bailey <David.Bailey@healys.com>; rrones@thorntonrones.co.uk; Ivaylo Dimitrov <id@g-cv.com>; ivo101329@gmail.com; Desi Shtereva <desi@g-cv.com>; Desislava Shtereva <oliviq_15@abv.bg>; desi109190@gmail.com; phillip.r.a.williams@gmail.com; RPA Williams <rpawilliams@g-cv.com>

Subject: Re: FINAL ATTEMPT TO ENGAGE IN DIRECT DISCUSSIONS WITH MR EARL TO TRY AND "FAST-TRACK" RESOLUTIONS TO IMPORTANT MATTERS AT HAND RE: RANSQUAWK ETC (by GB)

All

I would welcome a meeting in London next week at the companies offices with all relavent parties.

I would welcome the appointment of an independent arbitrator to attend to help resolve matters.

Regards

Chandrakant Patel

From: Jason Earl, JET <jason.earl@thejetgroup.co.uk>

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2019 10:56 AM

To: George Eaton-Busfield <george@g-cv.com>; Jason Earl <jason.earl@ransquawk.com>; Jase (Virtualfunction) <jase@virtualfunction.net>; Jason Earl <jaseearl@googlemail.com>; jaseearl@gmail.com <jaseearl@gmail.com>

Cc: cecb@cecb.uk <cecb@cecb.uk>; Fieldhouse <fieldhouse@ntlworld.com>; Adam Voce <adam@g-cv.com>; Chandrakant Patel <chandrakantpatel51@outlook.com>; index arb <indexarb@hotmail.com>; Albie Turner <albie@versaaccountants.co.uk>; David Bailey <David.Bailey@healys.com>; rrones@thorntonrones.co.uk <rrones@thorntonrones.co.uk>; Ivalyo Dimitrov <id@g-cv.com>; ivo101329@gmail.com <ivo101329@gmail.com>; Desi Shtereva <desi@g-cv.com>; Desislava Shtereva <oliviq_15@abv.bg>; desi109190@gmail.com <desi109190@gmail.com>; phillip.r.a.williams@gmail.com <phillip.r.a.williams@gmail.com>; RPA Williams <rpawilliams@g-cv.com>

Subject: Re: FINAL ATTEMPT TO ENGAGE IN DIRECT DISCUSSIONS WITH MR EARL TO TRY AND "FAST-TRACK" RESOLUTIONS TO IMPORTANT MATTERS AT HAND RE: RANSQUAWK ETC (by GB)

George,

For over year, there has been little willingness from yourself or your accomplice, Mr Voce, to address the plethora of outstanding issues of the last 12-18 months. The key ones being shareholder disputes, accounting and HMRC related. None of these personally benefit me in any significant capacity but must be addressed for the greater good of the business. I'm struggling to pinpoint any actions from yourself in the last 12-18 months that have driven any long-term positive outcome for the business. Mr Hayward, Mr Baughan, the team at Versa, and I have spent a good part of the last year fire-fighting many of the adverse side effects brought on by your actions such as accounts not being filed on time. The loss of the Metro Bank account being the latest casualty of of many errors inflicted on the business.

Despite the inability to address such matters over the last year, you seem to be suddenly driving a lot of pressure and haste towards me in these recent emails in regards to Mrs Busfield's purported aspiration to become a 76% shareholder when all other matters raised by others remain unresolved. I can't help but think there is a tremendous amount of selectivity in addressing concerns that only benefit particular people who are not materially involved in the day to day actions in the office. I would be keen to understand how Mrs Busfield's 76% stake-holding benefits the business (i.e. resolves any of the numerous disputes) and how you envisage this happening alongside the obligation to repatriate Mr Patel's shareholding, which you have recognized to be 36.2%.

Thanks for attaching the text messages you sent me, I'm sure the wider audience here will appreciate the seemingly innocuous use of words like "offer", "engage", "willingness", "reciprocate", "pragmatic", and "hope" are nothing but a poorly plastered facade of constructive ambiguity. Looking back at these messages I'm confused about what I'm being offered here (point 5)? As stated on my call and resignation letter, I would be better off working elsewhere. I have friends in London earning £70-100K (base salary), which is significantly better than what I am on now, so do forgive my confusion. To help clarify this, maybe you would be able to lay down what I gain from staying when none else has any trust in you?

Despite the overbearing threats presented by yourself in our phone call last week, my offer stated in earlier emails remain. That is I meet with Mr Dimitrov and your mother at the company offices to discuss the email titled: "Ivalyo Dimitrov demand for transfer of full ownership & control of trust assets as is his absolute entitlement". It would enable us to come to an amicable resolution once Mr Dimitrov has furnished me with the relevant documentation and accompanying CCTV footage. I've been extremely concerned about

impostors communicating via email over the last year, and therefore I request only Mrs Busfield and Mr Dimitrov attend in person to prevent any further muddying of waters.

I also would like to remind the proclaimed "directors", Mr Richard Williams and Mrs Cynthia Busfield, of the open invitation I made to visit the office and discuss other matters with myself and Mr Hayward. Others in the office and on the desk expressed their burning desire to meet these ever-elusive unseen directors based out of Stoke. I believe they would like to discuss bonus structure and share schemes along with other perks mentioned on their contracts (such as private medical insurance, pension scheme, and the mysterious "YOU Benefits Programme"). I for one would be keen to learn how Mr Williams manages his gambling habits alongside running a financial news service in a conflict-free manner. Similarly, I note that HMRC were very surprised to see how Mr Dimitrov was able to balance his directorial duties with his full-time handyman schedule.

I came down this week envisaging Mrs Busfield and Mr Dimitrov would be eager to meet in the office (see tickets attached). I'm around until the end of the week. Do let us know so we can get a meeting room booked up.

Kind regards,
Jase.

I'm not too sure where all this haste over deadlines is coming from

On 28/08/2019 07:16, George Eaton-Busfield wrote:

Dear Jason,

I send this email as I hoped I would be able – so as to reiterate and support the correspondence(s) and aspiration(s) as I sent to you via text messages yesterday.

I attach a copy of such text messages to this email - as reference for yourself and the additional parties I have respectfully copied in.

I trust you will understand that your willingness (or not) to accept the offer(s) and or proposition(s) therein – *i.e. effectively in summary to engage in direct discussions so as to try and fast track resolution(s) to a number of issues and matters as are at hand regarding the relevant entities and parties* – will not only affect the relevant companies and businesses but also many (if not all) of these individuals significantly (including their abilities to interact and seek resolution(s) between them on various directly related and or non-related matters).

Notwithstanding the above, on consideration this morning, I think there is likely little value in again repeating the detail of the content, thoughts, propositions etc such as I have already relayed in my said text messages of yesterday, and also in our two hour conversation this last week or so and indeed prior text messages to yourself earlier this month – particularly as ultimately I realise that effectively they have all been proposing the same objective – to offer you the chance to engage in direct discussions to try and 'fast-track' resolutions regarding matters at hand and specifically as relate to yourself.

Hence, I think, it is best to simply now yet again state, but for what must now be the definitively last occasion (at least and absolutely by myself at least), that I, my mother, Mr Williams, Mr Voce, Mr Dimitrov and likely many others (including "the interests of the relevant businesses' and companies' ") openly and transparently hope that you will accept such offer / proposition for the reasons stated and as further detailed in the same text messages as are attached.

I note that I understand there are various matters and emails regarding an array of matters that may be relevant and connected materially or partially to the discussions herein proposed, but It is not my intent to refer or address or comment on any such.

Indeed, as above, and as per my text messages and the prior discussions and messages mentioned above, I am simply sending this email to reiterate my offer to try and assist in seeking aforesaid inferred resolution(s) by asking you to engage in direct discussion(s) with either myself, my mother, Mr Voce or an alternate party or forum as you may prefer.

However, as said, this has to be my last endeavour to present this / these offer(s) / proposition(s) to you as I have now tried on repeated occasions and unfortunately without any success or progress of significance that I am aware of.

Accordingly, I will leave this offer to you open for 48 hours, and given I am sending this email now at circa 07:00 on the 28-08-19, then I think fair to extend the timeframe respectfully to that relayed in the texts yesterday, and thus confirm that my offer(s) herein are available to you until 07:00 on Friday the 30th August 2019.

I hope you will positively reply by such time - and please note that such a deadline - is not intended to be aggressive but merely a point in time that enables myself, and indeed you and others, to conclude if such direct discussions are possible.

Nonetheless, if I don't receive a positive response and willingness and wish to engage in any such type of discussions as offered herein and or via my attached text messages by said **07:00 on Friday the 30th August 2019.** then I will step back and cease to engage and try and assist in such a manner respectfully.

I would be grateful of a reply either way if you would be so kind.

Once again, and for the final time I leave the above with you for your consideration and action / non-action as you conclude / wish.

George